

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/916,969	CAMBRIDGE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey D. Popham	2137	

All Participants:

(1) Jeffrey D. Popham.

(2) Kevin Zilka.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 June 2005
Time: 12:30
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

Claim 1.

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed a supplemental action in which an examiner's amendment adding "computer-implemented" before "method" in claim 1, thus making the claim statutory.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


EMMANUEL L. MOISE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)